

# Meeting attachements:

Regional- and Member Council meeting Spring 2023

## KFPS regio meetings Spring 2023

The regional meetings are open to all members of the KFPS, so people don't need to be a member of a breeding chapter.

Foreing regions	Datum	Plaats
Germany	Wednesday 31 May Starting: 20.00 hr	Windows Teams
Other regions	Wednesday 31 May Starting: 9.00 hr (Dutch time)	Windows Teams
FHANA + Other regions	Wednesday 31 May Starting: 16.00 hr (Dutch time)	Windows Teams

**Meeting of the Member Council Friday June the 2nd 2023, starting 19.00 hrs**

**Location: Van der Valk Hotel Zwolle, Nieuwleusenerdijk 1, 8028 PH Zwolle**

## Agenda Regional- and Council Member Meeting Spring 2023

1. Welcome
2. Announcements
3. Documents received
4. Approval minutes previous meeting:
5. Year Report 2022
6. Financial statements
  - Annual Account 2022
  - Report Financial Committee\* / Approval Annual Account 2022\* / Motion for discharge of the Board\*
7. Appointments
  - Member Council
  - Nominations by the Board\*: Disciplinary Committee
  - Nomination by the Confidential Committee\*: Integrity Committee / Financial Committee / Confidential Committee
8. Amendment Regulations
  - Stallion Inspection Regulations
9. Studbook affairs (debate)
  - Structure Committee
  - Registration outcrossing
10. Current affairs
  - Accommodation KFPS office
11. Any other business
12. Closure

*\* Voting for these items strictly only in the Member Council*

---

### Agenda item 4. Approval minutes previous meeting

Minutes of the Meeting of the Member Council of the Koninklijke Vereniging 'Het Friesch Paarden Stamboek' (KFPS) on Friday 25 November 2022 in Zwolle.

Attendance

Member Council: 32 members of the Member Council, six members via Teams (Sophie Loannou Georgiou, Nadeen Davis, Erin Miley, Line Gruntvig Sorensen, Jose Rodriguez, Janneke Haverschmidt)

Board: Tineke Schokker (Chairman), Ella Wiersma, Detlef Elling, Miel Janssen, Roelof Bos

Studbook office: Marijke Akkerman, Greetje Swart

Minutes secretary: Petra van Hoorn (Hét Notulistenteam)

#### 1. Welcome

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker opens the meeting and welcomes all those present, especially Ted van der Kooi from Canada and candidate Board members Martine Breedveld and Jan Veldhuis. She also warmly welcomes the members that are present via Teams. Mirella van Leeuwen has sent in an apology for absence.

#### 2. Announcements

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker reports that agenda item 5 was extended with the item Financing Breeding Research, agenda item 6 with the report of the Confidential Committee and agenda item 8 with an update from the Structure Committee.

#### 3. Documents received

- Letter from the members in the UK:

Ella Wiersma explains that currently there is a lot of commotion among members in England. Brexit has caused a lot of uncertainty with regard to the registration of Friesian horses in the UK. Additionally, there is also upheaval about the way the society is managed and that has triggered an administrative crisis. Foals and horses in the UK always have a UKFS /FHAGBI passport, regardless of whether owners are or aren't direct members of the KFPS. These foals and horses are registered via English Law and Regulations and are UKFS/FHAGBI Friesian horses. After Brexit, the UKFS/FHAGBI horses are still accepted in the main section of the KFPS Studbook, together with all other Friesian horses across the world. The KFPS issues a Studbook certificate, but since the KFPS is not acknowledged in the UK the KFPS cannot 'register' the animals. According to KFPS rules, the UKFS/FHAGBI horses have the same rights as all other pedigree Friesians that are registered in the main section, for example the right to participate in inspections, First Viewings, the Central Inspection, breeding of stud stallions etc. The Management Team has written a memo that will be distributed to all members of the UKFS/FHAGBI via the Chairman of the UK Association. The memo contains two options. The first option is that everybody becomes a direct member of the KFPS. The inherent risk is that the KFPS is no longer in control in case somebody else in England requests a geographical extension. The other option is that the UKFS/FHAGBI retains its PIO status as a Studbook, so that identification and registration tasks are carried out in the UK.

Back in the day the Board of the UKFS/FHAGBI chose this option. The KFPS advises the Board to enlarge the Board from two to five up to seven Board members, which facilitates taking democratic decisions that have a wide support.

Sjoerd Ruiters points out that horses with no Dutch passport will go down in value in the UK. He also wants to know if people with more than 25 horses can apply for an inspection in the UK.

Marijke Akkerman answers that people with more than 25 horses can apply for an inspection via the English Association. The system for inspections works differently for foreign inspections compared to how it works in the Netherlands.

Ella Wiersma adds that in relation to the UK the KFPS only has an advisory function.

Doeke Hoekstra fears that the situation in the UK can lead to a temporary void for registering foals if these foals cannot be registered with the KFPS. He urges to make haste with the implementation of the breeding programmes.

John Boot asks if the members of the Member Council could please receive this memo too.

Ella Wiersma agrees to do so.

- Letter from Jede Stables

John Boot asks the KFPS to please forward letters that are addressed to the Member Council to all Council members from now on.

#### **4. Approval minutes of the previous meeting of the Member Council**

Fer Smit asks to mention the names of all speakers in the minutes.

The minutes of the meeting of the Member Council on 20 May 2022 are approved.

With reference to:

- Chairwoman Tineke Schokker reports that sound levels at the Stallion Inspection will be measured and from now on the music will not be too loud.
- Miel Janssen reports that the Board agrees that the motion regarding daily reimbursements for ring masters and chippers is in line with their tasks and responsibilities. The Board therefore upholds the motion from the spring meeting which was approved by the Member Council. This motion has led to a raise in daily reimbursements of these volunteers.

A signed report of the KFPS Members' Day has been drawn up. This report will be sent to all people who were present on this day.

#### **5. Financial statements**

##### *a. Financing breeding research*

Miel Janssen reports that we have received the first negative response concerning the request for co-funding of the breeding research. According to this fund the research focuses too much on the Friesian horse in particular. Another fund which was contacted for co-funding will give their definite answer in the summer of 2023. The costs for the breeding research amount to € 282,500, with € 245,000 specified for staff expenses, € 19,500 for additional costs with regard to conferences, travel- and accommodation costs and € 18,000 for extra DNA research to pinpoint the reference genome for the Friesian horse. € 282,500 with on top of that indexations in the coming years is indeed a lot of money, but the Board values this research so highly for the Friesian horse that they are eager to start the research as soon as possible. The motion to the Member Council therefore is to commence the research and in the meantime during the (maximum) next nine months, to continue the search for co-funding or potential other arrangements. The Member Council is asked to take a stand with regard to the following points:

- Does the Board have permission to enter an agreement with Wageningen University to conduct this research?
- Do we receive permission to withdraw the research expenses for the first year of roughly € 85,000 from the allocated reserve for hereditary defects?
- Does the Member Council give permission to charge the expenses for the next few years, which is presently still an unknown quantity, to a yet to be formed allocation reserve for this purpose?

Ben Nijhof asks whether this research could also be carried out by a different university.

Marijke Akkerman responds that the KFPS has been cooperating effectively and long term with Wageningen University and that the University of Kentucky is also involved in this research.

Miel Janssen adds that the price tag will be roughly the same at a different university.

Janneke Haverschmidt suggests the idea of crowdfunding.

Miel Janssen replies that this option will also be looked into, but considering the society's considerable own capital it's doubtful if the Board is going to choose to use this option.

Sjoerd Veenstra is surprised that the Wageningen University is the only option considered.

Miel Janssen points out that making a quote for this issue is not a quick-fix, producing and presenting such a research proposal is rather time-consuming. The Wageningen University has expert knowledge in this field and came forward with a proposal of very good quality.

Peter Kamminga does not want to give a blank cheque.

Miel Janssen explains that the suggested sums in the memo are maximum sums that can only be raised by a potential indexation. If this budget proves not to be sufficient the Board will re-discuss it with the Member Council.

John Boot compliments the Board for initiating this research at the right time. He wants to know if he is correct in assuming that subsidies can no longer be applied for once scientific research is underway and if so, whether it would be an option to divide the research into sub-projects so that it can go ahead and the subsequent sub-projects will still be eligible for subsidies. Additionally, he wants to know if it is possible to agree on a fixed price in order to avoid potential indexations.

Miel Janssen heard back from the University that subsidies can still be applied for once it is already underway, and that co-financing is a way to guarantee carrying out the full research. He reckons that it should be possible to agree on a fixed price once everything has been clearly written out on paper.

Doeke Hoekstra asks the Board to pass information on to the Member Council at an earlier stage.

Gerarda van Harten asks to mail the members of the Member Council in advance regarding logging-in for votes and voting by proxy. The motion concerning financing of the breeding research is carried unanimously.

### *b. Budget 2023*

Miel Janssen explains that there is a mistake in the column printed in the meeting attachment and the correct column is now projected on the screen. The comparative figures on the sheet were incorrect. The figures of the 2023 budget were correct. Under normal circumstances the KFPS aims for a zero budget. For 2023 a negative result is accepted but the operational result is zero. For 2022 we expect losses on investments. The profit or losses on investments are kept outside the budget because these are an unknown quantity and the Board has no influence on these. Other items that fall under the formed allocation reserves, such as coaches for the Jury Body and the pending breeding research, are not included in the budget either. Because of the rising inflation the completion of the Budget 2023 was more complicated than usual. Normally, rates follow the indexation figures of the consumer price index of September, but for 2023 that would involve a 14.5% increase in all rates. Therefore the Board has estimated the cost increase per item as realistically as possible. The indexation of staff expenses is dictated by the CAO (Collective Labour Agreement, ed.) and is much lower than 14.5%, but other items on the budget do have to follow indexation numbers based on the consumer price index because of existing contracts. Because of the quick rise in printing costs the Board motions to downscale the Phryso from twelve to ten editions per year. On the benefit side the indexation of membership fees will be limited to 3.5% and the other rates will be raised by 12%.

Jan Wietse de Boer requests an explanation regarding inspection- and event costs.

Miel Janssen replies that these include the expenses for the Stallion Inspection with audiences and Friesian Proms. This post has risen from € 174,500 to € 820,000, partly due to the hiring of grandstands, artists, staff and sound equipment. This is offset by an increase in proceeds from € 82,000 to € 917,000.

Sjoerd Ruiter would like to know why the proceeds from promotional material has risen from € 45,000 to € 85,000.

Miel Janssen states that in 2021 we were dealing with a realisation of € 45,000, for the Budget 2022 we included € 85,000 and this will stay the same in the Budget 2023. In the year 2022 we were confronted with a last-minute decision that no audiences were allowed to be present at the Stallion Inspection and consequently, the Budget 2022 should have been amended with a lower amount for promotion proceeds, but this was not done. This item is correctly represented in the Budget 2023.

Sjoerd Ruiter believes that many breeders will suspend breeding when the indexation is 12% and he motions not to introduce such a high raise but instead to use our own capital.

Miel Janssen says that the Board aims for a zero budget and we need this indexation to balance the budget. If we fail to do so this discussion about indexations will resurface in 2023 and the following years because we have to cover our structural expenses.

In Sjoerd Ruiter's opinion the expenses are covered by breeding foals. Many of his breeder-colleagues have spoken about quitting because of the costs involved.

Miel Janssen doesn't believe that Studbook costs are the decisive factor for breeding or not breeding foals. Breeding a foal involves various other expenses that are many times higher. The indexation of Studbook rates represents a very limited factor within that total.

Pieter Wijbenga points out that the price for breeding foals has considerably gone up in the past two years and in terms of foal registration the KFPS is one of the most expensive studbooks, which means breeding becomes a thing for the elite.

Miel Janssen replies that the Board has compared prices with the KWPN and their prices are similar. The KFPS is also more expensive due to our wide range of services and the professional organisation of the Studbook.

Arno Thomassen motions to use a 6% indexation figure and to use the own capital to compensate for the other half.

John Boot points out that the KFPS wants to increase the number of foals as well as draw in more people and therefore he specifically motions to freeze membership fees and foal registrations at baseline, that would lead to a € 50,000 to € 100,000 negative budget.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker adjourns the meeting to deliberate about this motion.

## Adjournment

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker reopens the meeting.

Miel Janssen announces that the Board has decided to accept the motion from the Member Council: membership fees stay at baseline and foal registration fees will not be indexed. Foal registration fees however, will rise because costs for the DNA Tests as motioned under item 8 will come on top. The other rates will be raised by 12%. Expectations are that this will lead to a budget with an operational result of around €50,000 negative. The exact result still has to be computed. Along with the operational result there are costs that are debited to the allocation reserve(s) and potential benefits or costs from investments.

The amended budget is approved with 51.6% votes in favour, 45.2% opposed votes and 3.2% abstentions.

## 6. Appointments

On behalf of the entire Member Council John Boot expresses his gratitude for Detlef Elling and Roelof Bos, who are leaving the Board. Detlef Elling had to clock many miles for his work as a Board member between Germany and Drachten and other locations. He served two terms on the Board and stayed on the Board when the Studbook went through challenging times. After the addition of two new Board members they soon bonded into a close-knit team. Roelof also joined the Board in the same difficult period. He quickly found his feet and took up many tasks. Both gentlemen are given an amaryllis bulb as a token of appreciation.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker expresses her great respect for Detlef Elling who stayed on the Board and Roelof Bos who joined when things became difficult. She thanks them for this. Detlef Elling becomes an ambassador for the Studbook so that he can continue to use his knowledge, experience and passion for the Friesian horse as well as the Studbook for the benefit of breeding. She also thanks Roelof Bos who will continue his work for the Structure Committee. She presents both men with a bouquet of flowers.

Martine Breedveld introduces herself. She is 39 years old, has three children and lives in Leiderdorp. She works as a strategist for the Government and is responsible for international affairs. She is also a member of the City Council.

Jan Veldhuis is 60 years old, married and owns four Friesian horses. Already in the late 1950s his grandfather was a lover of Friesian horses and he has taken over that passion. In his day-to-day life he is a solicitor.

### *a. Board*

Martine Breedveld and Jan Veldhuis are appointed.

### *b. Breeding Council*

Sander Putman and Esther Liano are reappointed.

### *c. Appeals Committee*

Paul Wijnands is appointed.

START MINUTES OF THE CLOSED PART (CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC)

END MINUTES OF THE CLOSED PART (CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC)

## 7. Amendment Regulations

### *a. Amendments Registration Regulations*

Miel Janssen explains the intention to test all (about 3500) foals with the SNP method from 2023 onwards. This facilitates the collection of vast data and the EU breeding directives dictate that in case of pure-bred breeding programmes, DNA research needs to be carried out. If a KFPS-recognised stud stallion is used a paternity check is standard practice. If all future generations are tested in this way it follows that in future, maternity checks will also be carried out for foals that are born to SNP-tested mares. This practice also offers a standard check for carrier status of the dwarfism- and hydrocephaly genes. With this amendment in Regulations the KFPS members give their permission to use the obtained genetic data for scientific research purposes and the Studbook will become owner of said data. If certain requirements have as yet not been met, like a foal that doesn't appear at its dam's side or a foal that was born by means of embryo transfer, then DNA verification of the dam needs to be carried out, meaning the foal's dam will be tested with the SNP method or the STR system will be used for foal and dam. Inspections of foals, passports and starting 2023 also the SNP Test with (if applicable) paternity check and the outcome of carrier status, will cost € 183.50 if no additional tests are required.

Doeke Hoekstra asks if SNP Tests are only carried out in the Netherlands.

Miel Janssen replies that these tests can also be done in foreign countries but if **so, the data** have to be shared. Talks on this subject are ongoing with for example the FHANA, about how to implement this.

Ted van der Kooi asks if an exception can be made for FHANA members because sending DNA to the Netherlands is complicated. Maybe it is an option to ask Kentucky University to process the data because DNA tests for all foals are already being carried out for a long time in America.

Miel Janssen says that the Board has an open mind for considering transitional schemes for the foreign countries in order to achieve sound implementation of the new test methodology. Marijke Akkerman informs the MC that the rates in Kentucky are three times as high as in the Netherlands, which prompts the question whether the 2023 FHANA Budget is sufficient for the use of SNP Tests.

Paul Tanck has a question about property rights of the obtained data.

At one of the thematic meetings Miel Janssen heard that there is concern that at some point the Government might ask to hand over the data. On account of these questions an additional (legal) risk analysis will be made. Today however, the Board puts the motion for amendment in Regulations to the Member Council so that the research can get underway. If the additional (legal) risk analysis shows this is not the right way to do it, we can still come up with a different option to put to the members.

The Member Council vote results in 86.7% in favour, 13.3% opposed votes and with no abstentions this means the motioned amendment in Registration Regulations is approved.

### 8. Current affairs

#### a. Year Plan 2023

Marijke Akkerman talks the MC through the year plan:

- KFPS.nl and phryso.com will be merged together.
- The Animal Welfare Plan is nearly finished.
- Because the IT part of the Stallion Advice Programme is as yet not complete, the scores will not be online available in 2023, but work is underway to provide individual advice.
- The intention is to have an online version of the MyKFPS App by end Q1 of 2023. The inspection App for Jury members will be tested in 2023 to make it more user-friendly.
- We are working together with other studbooks, mainly in the field of IT and research.
- For early 2023 an update of the Inspection- and Registration regulations is on the agenda.
- On behalf of the Structure Committee Sjoerd Ruiter explains that the main lines for the structure within and outside the Netherlands are in place and a concrete plan will follow in 2023.

Ben Nijhof brings to the attention that breeders are concerned about the rising costs and he would like the Board to be more precise about how to stimulate breeding.

In connection with the inflation Marijke Akkerman finds it difficult to look ahead. More foals were born in 2021 and the hope is this trend will continue. Much time and energy is put into YoungKFPS and there is huge enthusiasm among its 600 members, which also includes breeders.

Jan Wietse de Boer draws the attention to being a bit more relaxed when applying the matrix.

Marijke Akkerman replies that the matrix is also a point of discussion in the Structure Committee.

Ted van der Kooi points out that B-Book 2 mares are not registered in FHANA countries, they are registered via the KFPS. These can however, contain interesting bloodlines. Marijke Akkerman responds that this is under consideration of the Breeding Council.

#### b. Current situation Structure Committee

On behalf of the Structure Committee John Boot reports that they had eight meetings via Teams and a person from NCR has an advisory function. They also started a session with Mr Mastebroek. They have made a fiscal risk analysis and a general risk analysis. During the National Cooperation Day some members from the Structure Committee attended a workshop about the management model. He emphasises that the Structure Committee's ultimate goal is to come up with a blueprint, following which finetuning will be done in cooperation with the breeding chapters, the Board, the Member Council and other bodies. It is important to have a future-proof structure which has (sufficient) support.

### 9. Any other business

Arno Thomassen would like an update about the appointment of a new Head of Training.

Marijke Akkerman replies that the Central Examination 2023 is under preparation and that includes the appointment of a Head of Training.

Paul Tanck draws attention to the RVO registration of horses because a horse that has not been registered can be impounded and a high fine imposed. Marijke Akkerman replies that there is a reference in the Phryso, saying that everybody has to register their horse with the RVO before January the 1st 2023.

Ben Nijhof draws the attention to the monitoring of semen quality since he believes this has faded a little into the background. Marijke Akkerman responds that this has not faded into the background but that **the semen testing** still meets all requirements.

Fer Smit is against reducing the number of issues for Phryso.

John Boot refers to an earlier signal from the Member Council. Earlier the Member Council discussed that the appointment of a Prospective SIC member would be a good move, so that the person in question can observe from the side-line and can take over in case a SIC member is not able to fulfil his/her task. Next, he inquires after the developments regarding the accommodation and he reports that the locations for the thematic meetings in the regions North Holland, South Holland and Zeeland were not terribly adequate. Lastly, he congratulates Martine Breedveld and Jan Veldhuis with their appointment on the Board.

Regarding the accommodation, Ella Wiersma explains that an appeal was published in the Phryso, which resulted in a number of old and above all, expensive properties that require a lot of work in terms of energy costs. With the Leeuwarden Council we are in discussion about a building plot. The preference of the Board is the construction of a new building so that we can build sustainably and green, but building costs are very high at the moment so the Board has decided to wait a little longer.

Pieter Wijbenga asks if it is possible to leave more time in between regional meetings and the meeting of the Member Council, because there was not enough time to consult the members from the region. He also would like to know if there will still be inspections on location now that Coronon is on the retreat.

Marijke Akkerman answers that decisions are principally taken during the regular Spring meeting, and the usual meetings and time lines will be observed. And, depending on the evaluation within the Board, there will possibly be thematic meetings in the autumn. Greetje Swart knows that the KFPS members very much appreciate the inspections on location. These will continue, but will not be expanded.

Peter Kamminga asks if any voting has taken place with regard to the reduction from twelve to ten editions of Phryso and what the financial consequences are.

Marijke Akkerman replies this is part of the Budget.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker adds that a motion for an amendment could have been put to the table, but this was decided via the Budget 2023. Peter Kamminga says that according to him the decision-making about this was very unclear.

Albert Lueks points out that the second document received has not been dealt with.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker replies that the Board is still working on this.

Sjoerd Veenstra advises the Board to explain themselves when and why they are still working on something. Tineke Schokker explains that complaints about Board decisions have to go via the Board and possibly via the Board of Appeal but not via the Member Council.

Richard Flier asks to organize IBOP Tests at a later date in connection with pregnant mares. He also asks to publish stallion portraits in the Phryso ahead of the stud season.

Marijke Akkerman explains that stallion portraits are published in Phryso throughout the year in order to spread the attention for stallions across the editions.

Jose Rodriguez knows that cloning is forbidden in the Netherlands but is permitted in many other countries. He would like to know what the view of the KFPS is on this matter.

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker responds that this issue will be discussed within the Board and also together with the Breeding Council.

Detlef Elling states that he served seven years on the Member Council and eight years on the Board. Before that he was Chairman of the region East Germany. It took some time to get used to the language, the mentality and the working methods of his Dutch colleagues, but it was a nice team. During the first years it was mostly a matter of remote management, then came a year of upheaval and the recent years were truly challenging in terms of organising, managing, maintaining relations and watching, listening and appreciating people, the latter bit being his core quality. When he took up office in 2014 there was discussion whether or not somebody from abroad could have a seat on the Board. He is happy to be an ambassador from now on but he has promised the home front not to take on too many commitments. Lastly, he thanks the Board and everyone who gave him their support.

Roelof Bos spent two years on the Board and he did it for the Friesian horse. Recently he hitched up a four-in-hand of Friesian horses and that is pure enjoyment. Some other people are more into inspections for example, but it is all about the experience. The cooperation of people within the Studbook is often described with the difficult term of 'governance', but this simply means how things are organised, discussed, communicated and the way in which agreements are reached. It is a good thing that the Structure Committee is in a position to take steps to safeguard governance for and in the future. Another positive thing is to express appreciation for all parties concerned in a debate, especially the office staff and Inspection work really hard and they should be cherished. The experience of the Studbook can be felt at events like the Stallion Inspection and the Central Inspection, but especially so on breeding days and it should stay that way, because otherwise the perception will change into a business-like approach.

## 10. Closure

Chairwoman Tineke Schokker thanks everyone for their attendance and input and closes the meeting at 22.30 hrs.





## Agenda item 6: Financial Statements 2022

### Annual Account 2022

Below you will find the balance sheet and list of benefits and costs as derived from the Annual Account 2022, which will be submitted for approval to the Member Council in May. For this Annual Account an unqualified audit report has been issued by an independent accountant on condition that this account will be laid down and approved by the Member Council without alterations. For the full Annual Account please contact [financien@kfps.nl](mailto:financien@kfps.nl)

Balance sheets as of December 31, 2022				
(for profit appropriation)	31.12.2022		31.12.2021	
<b>Active</b>				
<b>Fixed assets</b>				
Materiële vaste activa:				
Inventory	€ 7.499		€ 10.893	
Other fixed operating assets	€ 13.460		€ 19.031	
		€ 20.959		€ 29.924
<b>Financial fixed assets</b>				
Deferred tax asset		€ 23.561		€ 23.649
<b>Current assets</b>				
Inventory:				
Commodity		€ 127.605		€ 91.426
Claims:				
Trade debtors	€ 267.033		€ 166.300	
Taxes and social securities premiums	€ 49.035		€ 79.347	
Other receivables and accruals	€ 493.585		€ 368.235	
		€ 809.652		€ 613.882
Stocks		€ 892.029		€ 953.661
Liquid resources		€ 4.513.759		€ 3.735.806
		€ 6.387.565		€ 5.448.348
<b>Passive</b>				
<b>Equity</b>				
Other reserves	€ 3.582.200		€ 3.606.106	
Unappropriated profit	€ 34.193		€ -26.703	
Special-purpose reserve	€ 432.008		€ 434.805	
		€ 4.048.401		€ 4.014.208
<b>Provision</b>				
Studbook certificates		€ 684.702		€ 1.021.598
<b>Short-term liabilities</b>				
Supplier and commercial credit	€ 445.091		€ 118.075	
Taxes and social premiums	€ 64.802		€ 65.947	
Accruals and deferred income	€ 1.144.569		€ 228.521	
		€ 1.654.462		€ 412.542
		€ 6.387.565		€ 5.448.348

Sheet of benefits and costs 2022						
	Realisation	2022	Budget	2022	Realisation	2021
<b>Baten</b>						
Contribution	624.739		€ 581.500		€ 593.029	
Phryso	250.767		€ 244.000		€ 244.896	
Judging proceeds	198.166		€ 183.000		€ 148.240	
Breeding	405.413		€ 380.000		€ 348.366	
Registration proceeds	720.797		€ 614.000		€ 476.287	
Sale promotiematerials	30.468		€ 85.000		€ 45.376	
Other benefits	243.908		€ 200.000		€ 561.363	
<b>Total baten</b>		<b>€ 2.474.257</b>		<b>€ 2.287.500</b>		<b>€ 2.417.557</b>
<b>Costs</b>						
Staff expenses	673.549		€ 678.500		€ 766.321	
Depreciations	12.876		€ 15.500		€ 15.355	
Housing expenses	67.039		€ 75.000		€ 67.764	
Printing costs	301.904		€ 281.000		€ 273.997	
Relmbrsements volunteers and officials	333.954		€ 288.500		€ 252.355	
Inspection & event expenses	214.985		€ 174.500		€ 215.337	
Breeding costs	414.552		€ 315.000		€ 338.545	
Accomodation expenses	98.222		€ 103.000		€ 57.844	
Office expenses	110.573		€ 104.500		€ 109.817	
Other operating expenses	505.748		€ 434.500		€ 556.758	
<b>Total costs</b>		<b>€ 2.733.400</b>		<b>€ 2.470.000</b>		<b>€ 2.654.093</b>
<b>Operation results</b>		<b>€ -259.143</b>		<b>€ -182.500</b>		<b>€ -236.536</b>
Mutation Studbook certificates		€ 458.881		€ 100.000		€ 85.018
Balance financial income and expenses		€ -165.458		€ -17.500		€ 64.525
<b>Result</b>		<b>€ 34.281</b>		<b>€ -100.000</b>		<b>€ -86.993</b>
Corporation tax		€ 88				€ -60.290
<b>Result after tax</b>		<b>€ 34.193</b>				<b>€ - 26.703</b>

### Explanation annual figures 2022

The financial result in 2022 after tax amounts to € 34,193 positive. The budget assumes a negative result of € 100,000 as a result of the cancellation of the Stallion Inspection & Friesian Proms with an audience and the still uncertain negative effects as a result of corona. The activities and inspections for the rest of the year could almost all take place without restrictions from corona. This made it a busy year with many foreign inspections and a great commitment from the jury corps. The extra inspections abroad and the increase in the remuneration of the jury members, the chip team and the ringmasters approved by the Council of Members resulted in € 45,454 higher costs than budgeted for officials & volunteers. In addition to higher inspection costs, the extra inspections also led to slightly higher inspection revenues than budgeted. The income from contributions and registrations is better than the budget. The registration revenues are higher because of the addition of the stud fee to the registration fee for the foals and because of the increase in the entry fees for studbook stallions. The balance of financial income and investments of € 165,458 turned out to be considerably more negative than budgeted as a result of the sharp rise in interest rates at the end of 2022. The rise in interest rates has caused a price fall in both the bonds and the shares in the investment portfolio. In addition, the interest on the liquid assets was negative. The changed estimate of the surrender percentage of the studbook certificates with deposits from 60% to 40% for the horses that are expected to be still alive has resulted in a one-off income of € 458,881, as a result of which a positive end result was finally achieved for 2022.

## Agenda item 7. Appointments

### (Re-)appointment members of the Member Council

The (re-)election of representatives from the regions onto the Member Council will take place during the regional meetings of this spring. The representatives step down according to the agreed rotation schedule for terms in office. The diagram below lists the names of outgoing members of the Member Council and whether or not they are eligible for re-election. Members who wish to be considered for election are required to apply for this position to the chairman of the region in question one week prior to the relevant meeting. For more information please check the profile for Council members given below.

Vacancy	Vacancy	Groningen-Drenthe Combinatie	<i>info@pieterwijbenga.nl</i>
D. Hoekstra	Outgoing	Het Friese paard Wolvega	<i>hetfriesepaardwolvega@gmail.com</i>
A. Mandemaker	Outgoing	It Fryske Hynder	<i>info@itfryskehynder.eu</i>
W. Lucius	Outgoing	Zuid Nederland	<i>jrraijmakers@hotmail.nl</i>
P. Kamminga	Outgoing	Ta it Bihâld	<i>info@taitbihald.nl</i>
P. Tanck	Re-eligible	Oost Nederland	<i>frieschpaard-twenteachterhoek@live.nl</i>
F. Smit	Re-eligible	Noord- en Zuid Holland	<i>fokvereniging.nzh@hotmail.com</i>
Sj. Ruiter	Re-eligible	It Fryske Greidhynder	<i>flapperhelga@gmail.com</i>

The postal addresses of the Breeding Chapters can be found on our website ([www.kfps.nl](http://www.kfps.nl)) under the link Het KFPS – Adressen Nederlandse fokverenigingen (addresses Dutch Breeding Chapters, ed.). The other option is to send an email to [mail@kfps.nl](mailto:mail@kfps.nl) stating: vacancy Member Council.

New Members of the Member Council will attend the first MC meeting in the capacity of future members. At the end of the meeting the outgoing Council Member will receive a farewell. From that moment onwards the new Council Member is the representative on the Member Council for the region in question.

---

## Profile members of the KFPS Member Council

### Terms of reference

To the Member Council fall all KFPS powers that are not, by Law or KFPS Statutes or Regulations, commissioned to the Board or other bodies.

At the instigation of the Board, the Member Council determines the breeding goal and the breeding- and selection policies.

### The Member Council appraises motions from the Board and takes decisions concerning:

- the operational and financial objectives of the Society;
- the strategy that has to lead to realisation of the objectives;
- the preconditions inherent to the strategy, including financial ratios and risks;
- changes in policy, as well as decisions that influence the realisation of the objectives of the Society;
- the Long-term Policy Plan and the Long-term Budget;
- the work schedule and the budget for proceeds and costs for the next year;

### The other principal tasks of the Member Council are:

- approval of the Annual Account and granting discharge for policy and management; both for the Board and the Direction and after advice from the Financial Committee;
- the safeguarding of ethics, values and standards within the Society;;
- appointing and dismissing members of the Board;
- the evaluation of functioning of the Board.

### Composition, terms in office

- The Member Council consists of the representatives that the regional meetings have chosen from their midst.
- The Member Council holds regular, special, regular closed and special closed meetings.
- Term in office for Council members commences at the end of the annual meeting of the Member Council. Term in office is four years. After their first term in office a member of the Member Council can be re-elected for one more term.
- A member of the Member Council steps down with immediate effect:
  - if and from the moment their KFPS membership is cancelled;
  - in case he/she has taken this decision and has communicated their decision to the KFPS;
  - pursuant to a decision thereto of the members, during a meeting of their region;
  - at his/her death.
- The Member Council is herself responsible for the appointment of a Chairman for all types of Member Council meetings as mentioned in Stipulation 2 of this Article, with the understanding that for special closed meetings Article 15 shall apply.

### Appointment procedures

Applications have to be done in writing. The members choose their representatives from their regions. Appointments shall be for the duration of four years with a once-only possibility for a re-appointment of another four years.

### Profile for members of the Member Council

General:

Candidates:

- have to be of legal age and a member of the KFPS
- are not employed by the KFPS
- are not allowed to hold dual functions

*To be specific:*

**Candidates should:**

- not cause any potential conflict of interest when appointed
- be team players
- have strong communication skills
- have sufficient communication skills both in Dutch and in English
- act in the public interest
- have an established higher level of professional and intellectual ability
- be able to represent the region and provide feedback to the region
- in addition to the above, be able to act in liaison with the relevant bodies
- have proven managerial and executive experience

---

## Agenda item 8 Amendments Stallion Inspection Regulations

Due to the volume of the document, the complete, amended Concept Regulations Stallion Selection (formerly Stallion Inspection) can be found on the website [www.kfps.nl](http://www.kfps.nl) under the heading Regulations & Conditions. Here you can also find the amended Concept Implementation Provision Regulations Stallion Selection. The implementation provision lays down details and rules of the provisions in the Regulations for the purpose of a good implementation. Conform Statutes, these will be approved by means of a management resolution.



In this meeting attachment the amendments are clarified on broad outlines. The Regulations Stallion Inspection have been completely screened by the Board, the Management, the Inspection as well as the Breeding Council. The name has been changed to Regulations Stallion Selection, which contain the rules with regard to the entire selection trajectory of stallions for registration in the stallion Studbook.

The proposed amendments contain more openings from the perspective of breeding for stallions that are needed to safeguard variation in bloodlines, as well as a number of amendments for the purpose of a good execution of the stallion selection trajectory. The First Viewing has been divided with a branch-off for non-Star-worthy stallions that can get a referral for the Second Viewing on the basis of their lineage and degree of kinship with the population. Vice versa it is possible that a Star-worthy stallion does not get a referral because he is less interesting for breeding. Stallions that are already Star can no longer take part in the First Viewing but still have the option to enter the after-inspection where selection takes place on the basis of use.

A number of veterinarians have looked into the radiological examination and the side observations emanating from it. In consultation with the Stallion Inspection Committee and the Breeding Council, the resulting advice from the veterinarians has led to a proposal to clarify the Regulations and includes two side observations with regard to the radiological examination. Starting point here is that observations relating to a hereditary background and observations indicating a risk of lameness or other clinical consequences are undesirable and have therefore been added to the selection criteria.

Other amendments in the Regulations Stallion Selection are instigated by legal insights. A number of stipulations have been amended for the purpose of a clearer and more legally correct formulation.

## **Agenda item 9.1 Structure Committee - *debate***

### **Reinforcing the foundation**

#### **The Structure Committee**

The essence of the preliminary observations of the Structure Committee (SC) boils down to the advice to the Breeding Chapters (BC), Member Council (MC), and the Board to merge the Breeding Chapters and regions into Regional Chapters (RC). The SC considers such a merger

to be an important condition for a future-proof KFPS structure, for the future of its members and for the future of all that connects us: the Friesian horse.

The SC has a strong preference for a model in which the Breeding Chapters represent the KFPS regions, as is by and large, already the case. This creates a systematic structure: as Regional Chapters the Breeding Chapters become part of the KFPS structure, the interests of all KFPS members will be protected and the (indirect) KFPS representation will take place via these RCs. Advantages are the boosting of member potential and member relations as well as protection of the Breeding Chapters that are facing a decline in membership numbers.

## **Agenda item 9.2 Registration of outcrossing - *debate***

Since around 1980 the KFPS has run a closed Studbook and the B-Book was closed. From that moment horses without recorded lineage were no longer registered. In 2005 there was an exception in South Africa when B-Book III was temporarily open for horses without pedigree. The main section of the KFPS is only open for registration of offspring if both parents have been registered in the main section. This is a closed section in which the pureness of the Friesian horse breed is embedded by means of Statutes. Any change in this context can only take place following a preliminary resolution by the Member Council subject to a corrective referendum to be held among the members of the KFPS.

Following approval of the Member Council in 2013, a subsidiary section was opened as an extension of the main section, based on the following foundation; especially in the foreign countries there are still horses without a (fully) complete lineage. Additionally, if the parents cannot be traced a horse cannot get access to the Studbook on the basis of lineage verification (DNA testing). For that reason the KFPS Board considers it appropriate to be of service to the owners of such horses by offering them the possibility of registration without jeopardising the closed aspect of the Studbook.

This was subsequently laid down in the Registration Regulations Article 5 Stipulation 3 as follows: in addition to the main section the KFPS has a subsidiary section. If either a horse's dam or sire has not been registered in the main section but the horse itself adequately meets the breed description as described in the breeding goal, this horse can be registered in the subsidiary section. Horses registered in the subsidiary section cannot take part in inspections and the subsidiary section is not subdivided into categories and registers. Their offspring cannot be registered in any books of the main section.

In March 2023 the Board provisionally closed the subsidiary section in connection with uncertainty regarding the use of the subsidiary section and the rules relating to the additional section in the European Breeding Directive. The uncertainty related to the possibility of obligatory upgrading of offspring from horses registered in the subsidiary section into the main section. This is contrary to the Statutes of the KFPS.

Following contact and consultation with the RVO, the body responsible for the implementation of the rules of the Breeding Directive, the following has been made clear: The subsidiary section does not fall under the Breeding Directive EU 2016/1012. It is not the aim of the subsidiary section that horses that meet the breed characteristics should be used for breeding. The subsidiary section is a separate register to offer owners the possibility of registration for horses that are not eligible for the main section. So there is no obligation to upgrade offspring from horses registered in the subsidiary section to the main section.

At the introduction of the subsidiary section in 2013 the aim was to offer the possibility of registration for horses whose lineage could not fully be verified or whose parents could not be traced by means of lineage verification. At that time it was not the intention to start registering outcross products; that was not the issue. The context applied to horses that adequately meet the breed description as laid down in the breeding goal. Meanwhile though, the question arises if the KFPS should allow for the possibility to register outcross products in the subsidiary section. According to some, the literal wording of the text in the Registration Regulations – Article 5 Stipulation 3 already includes this possibility. Apart from whether or not this is true, the Board seeks to hear the opinion of the members on this issue and to open the discussion if we should offer the possibility to register outcross products in the subsidiary section. After such consultation and after seeking the advice from the Breeding Council and the Management, the Board will think this through in the upcoming months and, depending on the outcome, will come forward with a motion for an amendment of Regulations in the autumn.

## Agenda item 10 Accommodation KFPS

### Accommodation KFPS office

Currently, the KFPS rents office accommodation in Drachten, which also houses a depot and part of the archive. For a while now the KFPS has actively been on the lookout for other office accommodation and various options have been viewed and considered. Meanwhile the owner of the current location has communicated to us that the FCD will most likely be used for different purposes. The Member Council has expressed their preference to look into the option of buying property. Partly as a result of the still expensive property market and high energy costs, we have so far not succeeded in finding a suitable accommodation to buy. For that reason the decision was made to rent accommodation at the office park in Drachten, location Lavendelheide. The property in question is a representative office at a prominent location (circa 60,000 passing vehicles per day) adjacent to the A7 in Drachten. The office property offers very good accessibility and is situated directly next to the A7-N31 junction.

This does not mean we have abandoned the option to buy property, this remains a possibility in the future. For the interim the intention is to rent the above-mentioned accommodation until there will be an opportunity to buy property.